

UK Patent Application GB 2 245 492 A

(12) (19) (11) (13) (43) Date of A publication 08.01.1992

(21) Application No 9114363.6

(22) Date of filing 03.07.1991

(30) Priority data

(31) 20849A90
22442A90

(32) 04.07.1990
19.12.1990

(33) IT

(51) INT CL⁵
A61K 9/22 9/52

(52) UK CL (Edition K)
A5B BG B802 B806 B828 B829 B834

(56) Documents cited
None

(58) Field of search
UK CL (Edition K) A5B BG
INT CL⁵ A61K

(71) Applicant

Zambon Group S.p.a.

(Incorporated in Italy)

Via della Chimica, 9, I-36100 Vicenza, Italy

(72) Inventors

Franco Pozzi
Pia Furlani

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service

Serjeants
25 The Crescent, King Street, Leicester, LE1 6RX,
United Kingdom

(54) Programmed release oral solid pharmaceutical dosage form

(57) An orally administrable programmed release (i.e. release after a predetermined delay) pharmaceutical preparation comprises a core containing the drug. The core is coated with a hydrophobic material (m.p. 50-90°C) and a surfactant (HLB value 10-16). A water-soluble film forming material may also be incorporated into the coating. There may also be an outer coating which may be an enteric coating or a coating of the same or another drug for prompt release.

GB 2 245 492 A

- 1 -

PROGRAMMED RELEASE ORAL SOLID PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORM

The present invention relates to an oral solid pharmaceutical dosage form and, in particular, it relates to an orally administrable solid pharmaceutical dosage form from which the release of the drug begins after a programmed time interval from the administration.

Such dosage forms will be hereinafter indicated as programmed release dosage forms thus referring to an oral solid pharmaceutical dosage form which releases the active ingredient after a programmed time interval (no-release interval) from the administration, in contraposition to prompt release dosage forms which release the active ingredient practically completely at the moment of the administration and to controlled release dosage forms which slowly and gradually release the active ingredient starting from the moment of the administration.

A programmed release pharmaceutical dosage form is useful for the treatment of various pathologies.

It is known, in fact, that the treatment of many pathologies requires high hematic concentrations of drug in a restricted time and, preferably, also at an established time (Novel Drug Delivery and Its Therapeutic Application - Edited by L.F. Prescott & W.S. Nimmo - John Wiley & Sons - Chichester 1989).

Examples of such treatments are those directed to pathologies involving biological processes subjected to circadian rhythms [Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 2, 401, (1982)] such as the regulation of the blood pressure, the hormonal release and the chronobiology of asthma. Another example is the treatment of myocardial infarction and other cardiac diseases.

Further examples are the treatments involving rapidly metabolized

drugs or drugs acting on receptors which are inactivated by a long lasting interaction with the drug (tolerance).

For such treatments a prompt release dosage form has the inconvenience to require several daily administrations while a controlled release dosage form fails to achieve a therapeutic concentration.

Consequently, the programmed release of drugs such as for example antihypertensives, hormones, cardiotonics, antiasthmatics, antitussives allows to optimize the beneficial effects of the drugs by reducing their side-effects.

In addition, a suitable no-release interval can represent a mean to assure the site-specific release within particular regions of the gastro-intestinal tract, for example, within the colon.

This is particularly useful for the treatment of colon pathologies such as local infections, local spasms, tumors, ulcerous colitis and Chron's disease.

The colon, moreover, represents the best site for the release of certain systemically acting drugs.

In fact, it is known that the release of certain systemically acting drugs within the colon represents a valuable solution for the systemic absorption of drugs which are not orally administrable because susceptible to the action of the digestive enzymes (Science, 233, 1081-84, 1986).

Specific examples of drugs which are susceptible to the action of the digestive enzymes are peptides and proteins, such as insulin, gastrin, pentagastrin, calcitonin, glucagon, growth hormone, corticotropin, enkephalins, oxytocin, parathyroid hormone, vasopressin and the like.

Up to now only suppository pharmaceutical forms have been developed for the release of drugs within the colon. However, such pharmaceu-

tical forms are not always able to assure an effective release within the colon [Int. J. Pharm., 25, 191-197, (1985)].

5 The therapeutical importance of a programmed release orally administrable dosage form, for example in the above illustrated applications, prompted many Researchers in the Pharmaceutical Industry as well as in the University to devote relevant efforts to achieve such a goal.

To the best of our knowledge, however, no programmed release orally 10 administrable dosage form is yet available on the market.

European Patent Application No. 040590 (Aktiebolaget Hassle) describes an oral pharmaceutical form in which a core containing the active ingredient is coated by an anionic polymer, soluble at a pH higher than 5.5, and by a water-insoluble layer.

15 However, this pharmaceutical form cannot assure the effective release within the colon since the release of the active ingredient, being pH dependent, can begin after only 1-2 hours from the administration.

International Patent Application No. WO 83/00435 (J.B. Tillot Ltd.) 20 describes a solid oral form coated only by an anionic polymer which does not dissolve while passing through the upper gastro-intestinal tract. In order to assure the integrity of this solid oral form up to the colon, however, large amounts of the anionic polymer are necessary.

25 Moreover, also the release of this dosage form is pH dependent.

In European Patent Application No. 366621 in the name of Istituto De Angeli S.p.A., a multilayered tablet for the release of a drug after a suitable time interval and especially in the colon is described.

Such a pharmaceutical dosage form consists of a core and of an outer 30 coating.

The coating consists of an inner layer of an anionic copolymer, containing a suitable plasticizer, of an intermediate layer of a gelling polymer and, finally, of an outer layer of a gastro-resistant polymer.

Such a tablet too has the inconvenience to be pH dependent. Since the pH value of the gastro-intestinal tract is variable, also within physiological ranges (see for example Novel Drug Delivery and Its Therapeutic Application, above cited, Chapter 9, page 91-92) pH dependent pharmaceutical dosage forms do not always warrant an effective release of the drug at the programmed times and sites.

Beside the above described pH dependent dosage forms, another approach studied for obtaining the release of a drug in the intestine is that of using a coating of a material susceptible to enzymatic degradation (see for example European Patent Application No. 343993 - Agric. and Food Res.; International Patent Application No. WO 87/01588 - Soc. Etud. Ile-de-France; U.S. Patent No. 4,663,308 - Medical College of Ohio).

With this approach too, the release of the drug depends on physiological factor which can vary from individuals to individuals.

British Patent No. 1,346,609 in the name of Daiichi Seiyaku Company Limited and European Patent Application No. 274734 in the name of Pharmaidea S.r.l. describe multilayered tablets containing the active ingredient mixed with a superdisintegrant.

Each tablet is completely coated, except for a face, with an impermeable substance while the free face is coated with a layer of gelling and/or permeable material.

The water, which penetrates through the permeable layer, swells the superdisintegrant so splitting off the permeable layer and allowing the release of the drug.

It is clear to the man skilled in the art the very difficult industrial realization of such pharmaceutical dosage forms which require particular care in selectively coating all the surfaces of the tablet, except one, with an impermeable substance and then in coating the free face with a permeable material.

To the best of our knowledge, no industrial machinery is yet available for achieving such a selective surface coating.

A pharmaceutical dosage form constituted by spheres containing an inert core, for example a sugar core, coated with a drug and further coated with a disintegrant and, finally, with an outer coating of a water-insoluble and permeable material has been described in U.S. Patent No. 4,871,549 in the name of Fujisawa Pharm. K.K.

The spheres, which have a diameter of about 1 mm, release the drug after a certain period which depends on the thickness of the outer coating, by means of the explosion of such coating due to the swelling of the disintegrant, which constitutes the intermediate layer.

However, some drugs cannot be film coated onto the core making this pharmaceutical dosage form not always suitable.

Moreover, it is particularly difficult from a technological point of view to obtain a homogeneous thickness of the water-insoluble outer layer of the spheres because of their very small diameter.

In fact, the only practical realization of this dosage form under development, as far as we know, consists of a mixture of small spheres having different thickness of the water-insoluble outer layer so obtaining a controlled release.

An object of the present invention is that of providing an orally administrable solid pharmaceutical dosage form which releases the drug after a programmed time interval from the administration.

Another object of the present invention is that of providing an

orally administrable solid pharmaceutical dosage form which releases the drug after a programmed time interval and which can be easily prepared in industrial scale by means of normally available industrial machinery and standard procedures.

5

Another object of the present invention is that of providing an orally administrable solid pharmaceutical dosage form which releases the drug after a programmed time interval that does not depend on the pH of the gastro-intestinal tract.

10 Still another object of the present invention is that of providing an orally administrable solid pharmaceutical dosage form which releases the drug after a programmed time interval that shows minimum variations among different individuals.

15 A further object of the present invention is that of providing an orally administrable solid pharmaceutical dosage form suitable for delivering a drug in the colon.

These and other objects which will be evident to the man skilled in the art are achieved by a pharmaceutical dosage form prepared by coating a normal orally administrable solid pharmaceutical preparation with a layer comprising a mixture of a hydrophobic material 20 with a surfactant and optionally a water-soluble film forming material.

Therefore, object of the present invention is a programmed release oral solid pharmaceutical dosage form comprising an orally administrable core, containing the active ingredient, coated by a layer 25 comprising a mixture of a hydrophobic material having a melting point between 50°C and 90°C and a surfactant having a HLB value between 10 and 16, the amount of the surfactant being from 5 to 20% by weight with respect to the hydrophobic material, and optionally a 30 water-soluble film forming material in an amount of from 5 to 30% by

weight with respect to the hydrophobic material.

For the sake of simplicity, we indicate hereinafter the layer comprising a mixture of a hydrophobic material with a surfactant and 5 optionally a water-soluble film forming material, according to the invention, as "the hydrophobic layer".

From the programmed release dosage form object of the invention the drug is released after a pre-established time interval which depends mainly on the thickness of the hydrophobic layer while it is independent of the pH value as well as of the motility of the gastro-intestinal tract. 10

At the end of this time interval, the drug is released with a kinetics which depends only on the kind of the pharmaceutical preparation constituting the core of the dosage form.

15 In other words, after the programmed time interval the drug is released rapidly if the core is a prompt release preparation or is released slowly if the core is a controlled release preparation. This second alternative is particularly useful as far as drugs for the specific treatment of the colon are concerned.

20 Thus, the core of the dosage form object of the present invention is any solid orally administrable pharmaceutical preparation and, in particular, prompt release or controlled release tablets and capsules.

The hydrophobic material in the hydrophobic layer is constituted by 25 fats or other hydrophobic substances having a melting point between 50°C and 90°C.

Examples of useful hydrophobic materials are esters of higher fatty acids with higher alcohols, higher alcohols, higher fatty acids, esters of glycerin with higher fatty acids, esters of higher fatty acids with polyethyleneglycol and mixtures of two or more thereof. 30

Specific examples are carnauba wax, beeswax, cetyl alcohol, stearyl alcohol, hard paraffin, microcrystalline wax or petroleum wax, stearic acid, myristic acid, hydrogenated castor oil, tallow and mixtures of two or more thereof.

Preferably, the surfactant in the hydrophobic layer is selected among non-ionic surfactants or mixtures thereof.

Suitable surfactants are esters of polyethoxylated fatty acids with sorbitan and ethoxylated fatty alcohols.

10 The amount of surfactant is between 5 and 20% by weight with respect to the hydrophobic material and preferably about 10%.

Further examples of substances useful as the hydrophobic layer, according to the invention, are the substances known as "Gelucire" (trademark of Gattefossé Company), that is mixtures of mono-, di- 15 and tri-glycerides and di-esters of polyethylene glycol.

The water soluble film forming material in the hydrophobic layer is an optional because its main function is that of ensuring the adhesion of the hydrophobic layer on the core.

When used, it is used in the minimum amount useful for ensuring the 20 adhesion and this amount is between 5 and 30% by weight with respect to the hydrophobic material, preferably about 15-20%.

Exclusively for practical reasons, it is preferred to use the water-soluble film forming material.

Examples of water-soluble film forming materials are hydroxyalkyl- 25 celluloses, polymethacrylic acid esters and polyvinylpyrrolidone.

It is worth noting that all the components of the hydrophobic layer of the invention are well-known and pharmaceutically acceptable materials, most of which being already approved by the Pharmacopoeias of several Countries. This represents a relevant advantage of 30 our dosage form.

In this connection, we wish to underline that, even if these materials are well-known in pharmaceutical technology, they have only been used in different ratios and for different aims with respect to the 5 present invention.

For example, it is known the use of hydrophobic materials, such as waxes, also in admixture with a surfactant ~~for~~ with a film-forming material for the preparation of controlled release dosage forms (Sustained and Controlled Release Drug Delivery System - Edited by 10 Joseph R. Robinson - Marcel Dekker, Inc. - New York and Basel; J. C. Colbert - Controlled Action Drug Forms - Noyes Data Corporation 1974), for the preparation of enteric coating (European Patent Application No. 195476 - The Procter and Gamble Co.) or for taste-masking purposes (U.S. Patent No. 4,341,562 - Sankyo Co. Ltd.).

15 The pharmaceutical dosage forms object of the invention are suitable for the administration of many drugs in the treatment of various pathologies.

In practice, all the drugs which have physico-chemical characteristics suitable for the preparation of a solid pharmaceutical preparation, 20 like tablets and capsules, can be used.

Examples of drugs which, in the treatment of the above reported pathologies, receive a therapeutical advantage from the instant dosage forms are: antihypertensive, antiasthmatic, mucolytic, antitussive, antiallergic, anti-inflammatory, antirheumatic, antiarthritic, 25 cardiotonic, antispasmodic, hypnotic, anxiolytic, antineoplastic, analgesic and antibacterial drugs, proteins and hormones and also drugs useful in veterinary field.

Specific examples are: hydralazine, minoxidil, prazosin, enalapril, broxaterol (USAN and the USP Dictionary of Drug Names, 1991, United 30 States Pharmacopoeial Convention Inc.), albuterol, dextromethorphan,

cromolyn, acetylcysteine, dropropizine, ibuprofen, diclofenac, naproxen, aspirin, ketorolac, mesalamine, indomethacin, sulfasalazine, diltiazem, ibopamine, isosorbide mono- and di-nitrate, nitroglycerin, propranolol, oxprenolol, alprenolol, cimetropium bromide, insulin, gastrins, pentagastrin, calcitonin, glucagon, somatotropin, ACTH, endorphins, oxytocin, parathyroid hormone, vasopressin, cortisone, corticosterone, alprazolam, triazolam, oxazepam and zolpidem, optionally as salts with pharmaceutically acceptable acids or bases

10 and, when the drugs are chiral, also in an optically active form.

If not otherwise specified, for a comprehensive reference to the above listed drugs see The Merck Index, eleventh edition, 1989, published by Merck & Co., Inc.

The preparation of the dosage form of the invention is carried out

15 according to usual procedures and by means of standard machineries. In practice, a dispersion or solution in water or in organic solvent of the hydrophobic material, the surfactant and, optionally, the water-soluble film forming material is film coated on a core containing the active ingredient.

20 The coating is carried out according to conventional film coating methods.

The core is a prompt release or controlled release pharmaceutical composition consisting of the active ingredient in admixture with suitable excipients.

25 If necessary, the core can be protected by a water-soluble film before coating with the hydrophobic layer.

By means of the pharmaceutical dosage form object of the invention, the no-release interval may be programmed by selecting the appropriate thickness of the hydrophobic layer and, the thickness being

30 equal, by selecting the kind of hydrophobic material.

Obviously, the thickness of the hydrophobic layer is determined by its weight.

Inside the indicated melting point range, lower melting hydrophobic 5 materials result in a longer no-release interval.

On the contrary, inside the indicated weight range, the amount of surfactant in the hydrophobic layer does not significantly influence the no-release interval.

In the same way, the site of the release may be programmed too.

10 For the practical realization, the dosage form consisting of the core and the hydrophobic layer is preferably used when the goal is the release of the active ingredient after a pre-established time interval from the administration, independently of the site in which the release occurs.

15 In this case, depending on the posology of the drug to be administered, it may be useful to associate a prompt release dosage form to the programmed release dosage form of the invention, so obtaining two doses of active ingredient at different times with one administration.

20 This realization may be useful also in order to obtain the contemporaneous administration of two different active ingredients, so acting at different times, with one administration.

These results may be achieved by contemporaneously administering a prompt release dosage form and a programmed release dosage form of 25 the invention, for example, in a capsule containing both of them.

In alternative, the same result may be achieved by further coating a programmed release dosage form of the invention with an outer prompt release layer containing the same or another active ingredient.

When the goal is the release of a drug in a specific target site, 30 e.g. the colon, the selection of the appropriate hydrophobic layer

will take into account the time necessary for the transit through the stomach as well as the time necessary for the transit through the small intestine.

5. However, the time necessary for the transit through the stomach can vary within a large range, from some minutes to several hours, depending mainly on the presence or not of food.

This fact is not relevant when the goal is the release of a drug after a pre-established time interval, while it becomes important 10 when the goal is the release of a drug in the colon.

Therefore, in this second case, the dosage form should be administered between meals or after a light meal.

In alternative, by coating the dosage form of the invention with an enteric coating, that is a gastro-resistant coating, the selection 15 of the appropriate hydrophobic layer will take into account the time necessary for the transit through the small intestine only, the no-release interval of this enteric coated dosage form being independent of the time necessary for the transit through the stomach.

The enteric film coating is carried out with conventional methods by 20 using known enteric polymers in an organic solvent or in aqueous solvent.

Suitable polymers for the enteric coating are, for example, cellulose acetate phthalate, methacrylic acid-methacrylic acid ester copolymers, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate, polyvinyl acetate phthalate, hydroxyethylcellulose phthalate, cellulose acetate tetraphthalate.

To the above indicated polymers, suitable plasticizers such as, for example, polyethylene glycol, dibutylphthalate, diethylphthalate, triacetin, castor oil, citrates may be optionally added.

30 Furthermore, talc or other lubricants and optionally coloring agents

for pharmaceutical use may be added to the enteric film in order to improve the final characteristics of the product.

Thus practical embodiments of the pharmaceutical dosage form accord-

5 ing to the invention are:

- a tablet constituted by a prompt release core, containing the active ingredient, coated by the hydrophobic layer
- a tablet constituted by a controlled release core, containing the active ingredient, coated by the hydrophobic layer
- 10 - a tablet constituted by a prompt release core, containing the active ingredient, coated by the hydrophobic layer and further coated by an outer prompt release layer containing the same or another active ingredient
- a capsule, containing the active ingredient, coated by the hydro-
15 phobic layer
- a capsule, containing the active ingredient, coated by the hydro- phobic layer and by an outer enteric coating
- a capsule containing a prompt release tablet and a programmed release tablet
- 20 - a tablet constituted by a prompt release core, containing the active ingredient, coated by the hydrophobic layer and by an outer enteric coating
- a tablet constituted by a controlled release core, containing the active ingredient, coated by the hydrophobic layer and by an
25 outer enteric coating.

It is not yet clear the mechanism by which the dosage form of the invention affords the release of the drug after a pre-established time interval from the administration.

Since this result does not depend on the pH and on the specific

30 gastro-intestinal tract (as shown in example 2), it appears that it

does not depend on chemical or biochemical reactions.

Tentatively, the observed effect may be explained by a physical interaction of the dosage form with the body fluids resulting in a

5 slow and uniform dispersion of the hydrophobic layer until the intact core gets in contact with the body fluids and the drug is released.

In view of the fact that the mechanism by which the release is delayed is not yet known, it may be difficult to precisely forecast

10 the duration of such interval a priori.

However, the desired no-release interval results to be a direct function of the thickness (i.e. weight) of the hydrophobic layer, as shown in Example 19, and to a lesser extent it is a function of the other parameters, in particular the melting point of the hydrophobic

15 material.

A very good correlation exists between a simple and readily available in vitro release test and the observed in vivo release.

The in vitro test, which is herewith provided in details in example 1, shows how the in vitro no-release interval corresponds to the 20 average no-release interval in human beings (see examples 2 and 3) with a very good correlation.

Accordingly, the examples reported in the specification give to the man of the art a sufficient guidance for the selection of the parameters affording the desired no-release interval and the simple in 25 vitro test confirm the expected result or gives guidance for modifying the selected parameters inside the range provided by the invention.

The pharmaceutical dosage form object of the invention shows several advantages with respect to the prior art.

30 First of all, the programmed release of the drug makes the pharma-

ceutical dosage form object of the invention suitable for various drugs which are used in pathologies subjected to biological rhythms thus optimizing the beneficial effects and decreasing their side-effects.

The programmed release of the drug within particular regions of the gastro-intestinal tract, especially within the colon, makes the pharmaceutical dosage form object of the invention suitable for several drugs for which a different release-site causes a detrimental or less useful therapeutical effect.

It is possible to prepare such pharmaceutical dosage forms in a simple and economic way by using conventional methods and machineries.

The no-release interval does not depend on the pH of the gastro-intestinal tract or on other physiological parameters. This advantage, which is relevant with respect to known compositions having the same purpose, makes the dosage form of the invention suitable also, for example, for patients having a not physiologic gastro-intestinal pH, such as patients suffering from achlorhydria or taking H₂-antagonists or antiacids.

With the aim to better illustrate the present invention the following examples are now given.

The present invention is not intended to be limited in scope by the following examples since each is intended merely as an illustration of the invention.

Modifications of the invention in addition to those shown and described herein become apparent to the man skilled in the art from the foregoing description and from the examples.

Such modifications are intended to fall within the scope of the invention.

Example 1.

General procedure

Preparative methods

5 The preparation of the cores, on which the hydrophobic layer is affixed, was carried out by using usual excipients and conventional preparative techniques.

By film coating according to known methods (coating pan or fluidized bed), the thus obtained cores were coated with a previously prepared 10 dispersion containing the hydrophobic material, the surfactant and, optionally, the water-soluble film forming material.

The preparation of this dispersion was carried out by melting the hydrophobic material with the surfactant at a temperature between 80° and 90°C, then, by adding subsequent small portions of boiling 15 water under suitable stirring and, finally, by cooling up to room temperature.

When used, an aqueous solution of the water-soluble film forming material, prepared by adding the water-soluble film forming material to boiling water under stirring and by cooling up to room temperature, was added to the dispersion. The resultant suspension was 20 filtered (180 mesh), then film coated on the cores and dried under air flow.

 Before film coating, the cores may be protected by a water-soluble film.

25 The final pharmaceutical dosage forms can be further coated with an outer layer of enteric coating.

The preparation of the enteric coating can be, for example, carried out by diluting a commercially available aqueous dispersion and by affixing it on the dosage form of the invention by usual film 30 coating techniques (coating pan or fluidized bed).

If not otherwise specified, the excipients used for the preparation of the pharmaceutical dosage forms described in the following examples are:

5 Polyvinylpyrrolidone: the material commercialized by BASF Company with the trademark "Kollidon K 30" was used.

Crospovidone: crosslinked polyvinylpyrrolidone commercialized by BASF Company with the trademark "Kollidon CL" was used.

10 Colloidal silica: the material commercialized by Degussa Company with the trademark "Aerosil 200" was used.

Surfactant: polysorbate 80 commercialized by ICI Americas Company with the trademark "Tween 80" was used.

(HLB 15 ±1)

15 Enteric polymer: methacrylic acid-methacrylic acid ester copolymer commercialized by Röhm Pharm. Company with the trademark "Eudragit L30D" was used.

PEG 6000: polyethylene glycol 6000 (Merck Index, XI Ed., No. 7545, page 1204)

20 Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose: hydroxypropylmethylcellulose having viscosity=5 cP was used for the water-soluble film.
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose having viscosity=15 cP was used for the hydrophobic layer.

25 In vitro release evaluation
The in vitro release of the active ingredient was determined by dissolution test (Apparatus 2 and 3, USP XXII, page 1578-1583).

The in vitro evaluation was carried out in Apparatus 2 at 100 r.p.m.

30 The obtained data were confirmed by carrying out the same test at 50

r.p.m., in water, in simulated enteric medium, in pH 1.2 buffer, in pH 5.5 buffer, in pH 6.8 buffer and in Apparatus 3 without disks.

5 The obtained data confirmed that the no-release interval is independent of the pH.

In vivo release evaluation

The in vivo release of the active ingredient was determined by gamma scintigraphy [S.S. Davis, "Evaluation of the gastro-intestinal transit of pharmaceutical dosage form using the techniques of gamma 10 scintigraphy", S.T.P. Pharma, 2, 1015-1022, (1986)].

For the evaluation of the time of the release as well as of the site of the release, samarium oxide was used as a component of the core.

The pharmaceutical dosage forms were irradiated and the resultant labelled dosage forms were administered to healthy volunteers.

15 The gamma radiation was recorded by gamma camera.

Correlation between in vivo and in vitro data

The comparison between the in vivo and the in vitro release data shows a linear correlation.

20 In particular the in vitro no-release interval determined by the in vitro test carried out in a 3.3% sodium chloride aqueous solution gives substantially the same values as the observed in vivo no-release interval, while the in vitro no-release interval determined by a test carried out in water is half that observed in vivo.

Example 2

25 Preparation of labelled tablets for the in vivo and in vitro release evaluation

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

E110 dye	3.0 mg
----------	--------

30 samarium oxide (enriched in ^{152}Sm)	2.0 mg
--	--------

lactose	77.5 mg
corn starch	13.5 mg
polyvinylpyrrolidone	3.0 mg
5 magnesium stearate	1.0 mg

10 The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	0.75 mg
PEG 6000	0.08 mg

15 Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	32.3 mg
beeswax	13.8 mg
surfactant	4.6 mg
15 hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	9.2 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

20 The test was carried out by using a 3.3% sodium chloride aqueous solution (500 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and spectrophotometrically analyzed (482 nm) to detect the presence and the amount of the dye (E110) released by the core.

The following data were obtained:

25 time (min) percentage of release

180	0
190	0
200	0
210	0
30	220

230	0
240	0
310	5.06
5	330
	59.76
	360
	102.93

The dissolution test was carried out also in water only (500 ml).

The release of the dye was observed at halved time intervals.

In vivo release evaluation

10 The in vivo release evaluation was carried out by gamma scintigraphy according to what described in example 1.
The tablets were administered to seven healthy volunteers after a light meal.

The following data were obtained.

15	<u>volunteer</u>	<u>tablet disintegration (min)</u>
	1	300
	2	299
	3	376
	4	331
20	5	393
	6	315
	7	314
	mean value	332.6
	standard error mean	14.1

25 In each volunteer the proximal colon was the release-site.

The in vivo release evaluation was carried out also by administering the same tablets to six different healthy volunteers after a heavy meal.

The following data were obtained.

<u>volunteer</u>	<u>tablet disintegration (min)</u>
1	287
2	417
5	304
4	380
5	304
6	379

mean value 345.2

standard error mean 21.8

The obtained data show that there is a good correlation between in vivo and in vitro release and that, with particular reference to the mean values, the food has substantially no influence upon the release-time.

Moreover, the standard error mean shows how the no-release interval has a minimum variation among different individuals.

These in vivo data further confirm the in vitro data concerning the independence of the no-release interval with respect to the pH of the gastro-intestinal tract.

Example 3

Preparation of labelled tablets for the in vivo and in vitro release evaluation

The cores were prepared in a similar way to that described in example 2.

The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 0.57 mg

PEG 6000 0.06 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer

(prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	24.6 mg
beeswax	10.6 mg
surfactant	3.5 mg
5 hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	7.1 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using a 3.3% sodium chloride aqueous 10 solution (500 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and spectrophotometrically analyzed (482 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	time (min)	percentage of release
15	90	0
	105	0
	120	0
	135	0
	150	0
20	165	0
	180	13.87
	195	59.6
	210	99.2

The dissolution test was carried out also in water only (500 ml).

25 The release of the dye was observed at halved time intervals.

In vivo release evaluation

The in vivo release evaluation was carried out by gamma scintigraphy according to what described in example 1.

The tablets were administered to six healthy volunteers after a 30 light meal.

The following data were obtained:

<u>volunteer</u>	<u>tablet disintegration (min)</u>	
1	206	
5	189	
2	188	
3	189	
4	225	
5	225	
6		
10	mean value	203.7
	standard error mean	7.3

The obtained data show that there is a good correlation between in vivo and in vitro release.

Example 4

15 Preparation of labelled enteric coating tablets for the in vivo evaluation of the release-site

The cores were prepared in a similar way to that described in example 2.

20 The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 0.75 mg
PEG 6000 0.08 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

25 carnauba wax 30.0 mg
beeswax 13.0 mg
surfactant 4.3 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 8.6 mg

A further enteric coating constituted by:

30 enteric polymer 8.8 mg

triacetin 0.8 mg

was affixed.

In vivo evaluation of the release-site

5 The in vivo evaluation of the release-site was carried out by gamma scintigraphy according to what described in example 1.

The tablets were administered to six healthy volunteers after a light meal.

In each volunteer the colon was the release-site.

10

Example 5

Preparation of tablets containing Ibopamine hydrochloride as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

15 Ibopamine hydrochloride 55.95 mg
polyvinylpyrrolidone 1.48 mg
crospovidone 45.83 mg
colloidal silica 0.42 mg
stearic acid 0.42 mg

20 The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 0.20 mg
PEG 6000 0.02 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer

25 (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax 56.29 mg
surfactant 5.63 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 11.26 mg

In vitro release evaluation

30 The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test

according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using water (900 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen (10 ml) was withdrawn and

5 spectrophotometrically analyzed (220 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	time (min)	percentage of release
	0	0
	30	0
10	60	0
	90	0
	120	0
	150	8.92
	180	88.30
15	210	97.13

Example 6

Preparation of tablets containing Ibopamine hydrochloride as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each
20 having the following composition:

Ibopamine hydrochloride	42.00 mg
polyvinylpyrrolidone	1.65 mg
microcrystalline cellulose	6.55 mg
colloidal silica	0.40 mg
25 stearic acid	1.80 mg
lactose	33.70 mg

The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	2.67 mg
30 PEG 6000	0.30 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	29.33 mg
5 surfactant	2.93 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	5.87 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

10 The test was carried out by using water (900 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen (10 ml) was withdrawn and spectrophotometrically analyzed (220 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	time (min)	percentage of release
15	0	0
	30	0
	60	0
	90	35.11
20	120	101.43
		Example 7

Preparation of tablets containing Broxaterol hydrochloride as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

25	Broxaterol hydrochloride	0.569 mg
	polyvinylpyrrolidone	3.000 mg
	lactose	39.531 mg
	starch	56.000 mg
	magnesium stearate	1.000 mg
30	The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble	

film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	0.90 mg
PEG 6000	0.10 mg

5 Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	15.24 mg
beeswax	6.53 mg
surfactant	2.18 mg
10 hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	4.35 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

15 At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC chromatography (RP 8 column, 7 µm; eluent phosphate buffer/acetonitrile; UV detector at 216 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	time (min)	percentage of release
20	0	0
	30	0
	60	0
	90	0
	120	60
25	150	98

Example 8

Preparation of tablets containing Broxaterol hydrochloride as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each 30 having the following composition:

	Broxaterol hydrochloride	0.569 mg
	polyvinylpyrrolidone	3.000 mg
	microcrystalline cellulose	95.531 mg
5	magnesium stearate	1.000 mg
	The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:	
	hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	0.49 mg
	PEG 6000	0.06 mg
10	Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:	
	carnauba wax	18.98 mg
	beeswax	8.13 mg
	surfactant	2.70 mg
15	hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	5.42 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

20 At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC chromatography (RP 8 column, 7 µm; eluent phosphate buffer/acetonitrile; UV detector at 216 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	time (min)	percentage of release
25	0	0
	30	0
	60	0
	90	0
	120	47.3
30	150	77

180

100

Example 9

Preparation of tablets containing Broxaterol hydrochloride as active

5 ingredient

The cores were prepared in a similar way to that described in example 10.

The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

10 hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 0.90 mg
PEG 6000 0.10 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax 38.47 mg
15 beeswax 16.47 mg
surfactant 5.47 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 10.98 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test 20 according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC chromatography (RP 8 column, 7 µm; eluent phosphate buffer/acetonitrile; UV detector at 216 nm).

25 The following data were obtained:

time (min)	percentage of release
0	0
30	0
60	0
30	0

120	0
150	0
180	0
5 210	0
240	0
270	33
300	67
330	102

10 Example 10

Preparation of tablets containing Broxaterol hydrochloride as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

15	Broxaterol hydrochloride	0.569 mg
	polyvinylpyrrolidone	3.000 mg
	lactose	77.431 mg
	starch	18.000 mg
	magnesium stearate	1 mg

20 The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	7.41 mg
PEG 6000	0.82 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer

25 (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	22.16 mg
beeswax	9.50 mg
surfactant	3.17 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	6.33 mg

30 In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

5 At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC chromatography (RP 8 column, 7 µm; eluent phosphate buffer/acetonitrile; UV detector at 216 nm).

The following data were obtained:

time (min)	percentage of release
10	0
30	0
60	0
90	0
120	70
15	150
	102

Example 11

Preparation of tablets containing Broxaterol hydrochloride as active ingredient

The cores were prepared in a similar way to that described in example 10.

The thus obtained cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	18.96 mg
beeswax	8.13 mg
25 surfactant	2.71 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	5.42 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

30 The test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC chromatography (RP 8 column, 7 μ m; eluent phosphate buffer/acetonitrile; UV detector at 216 nm).

5 The following data were obtained:

time (min)	percentage of release
0	0
30	0
60	0
10	90
120	78
150	100

Example 12

Preparation of tablets containing Diclofenac sodium as active ingredient

15 15 ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

Diclofenac sodium	50.0 mg
microcrystalline cellulose	10.0 mg
20 polyvinylpyrrolidone	3.0 mg
lactose	25.0 mg
corn starch	74.5 mg
magnesium stearate	1.5 mg
colloidal silica	6.0 mg
25 sodium carboxymethylstarch	20.0 mg

The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	3.6 mg
PEG 6000	0.4 mg

30 Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer

(prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	62.0	mg
beeswax	26.5	mg
5 surfactant	8.8	mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	17.7	mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

10 The test was carried out by using water (600 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and spectrophotometrically analyzed (276 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	time (min)	percentage of release
15	270	0
	300	12
	330	87.5
	360	99.2

Example 13

20 Preparation of tablets containing Naproxen as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

Naproxen	250	mg
polyvinylpyrrolidone	15	mg
25 corn starch	44	mg
magnesium stearate	5	mg

The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	6.0	mg
30 PEG 6000	0.7	mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	104.8 mg
5 beeswax	44.8 mg
surfactant	14.9 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	29.9 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test 10 according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using phosphate buffer (900 ml) at 37°C. At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and spectrophotometrically analyzed (330 nm).

The following data were obtained:

15	time (min)	percentage of release
	360	0
	390	16.9
	420	73.7
	450	104.3

20 Example 14

Preparation of tablets containing Albuterol sulfate as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

25	Albuterol sulfate	2.4 mg
	lactose	77.5 mg
	polyvinylpyrrolidone	3.0 mg
	corn starch	16.1 mg
	magnesium stearate	1.0 mg
30	The thus obtained cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer	

(prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

1 carnauba wax	32.3 mg
5 beeswax	13.8 mg
5 surfactant	4.6 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	9.2 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

10 The test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC chromatography (RP 8 column, 7 µm; eluent phosphate buffer/acetonitrile; UV detector at 276 nm).

The following data were obtained:

15	time (min)	percentage of release
	180	0
	190	18.6
	200	50.8
	210	71.4
20	220	92
	230	101.2

Example 15

Preparation of tablets containing Triazolam as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each 25 having the following composition:

Triazolam	0.125 mg
lactose	72.000 mg
microcrystalline cellulose	18.000 mg
colloidal silica	0.300 mg
30 dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate	0.850 mg

sodium benzoate	0.150 mg
corn starch	4.750 mg
magnesium stearate	1.000 mg

5 The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	0.90 mg
PEG 6000	0.09 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer

10 (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

carnauba wax	25.0 mg
beeswax	10.7 mg
surfactant	3.6 mg
hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	7.2 mg

15 In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

The test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and analyzed by
20 reversed-phase HPLC chromatography (RP 8 column, 7 µm; eluent water/acetonitrile; UV detector at 222 nm).

The following data were obtained:

time (min)	percentage of release
90	0
25 105	0
120	52.8
135	98.7
150	99.8

Example 16

30 Preparation of tablets with controlled-release core containing

Mesalamine as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

5	Mesalamine	300.0	mg
	ethylcellulose	76.4	mg
	crospovidone	18.3	mg
	magnesium stearate	6.2	mg
	talc	10.4	mg

10 The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble film constituted by:

	hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	23.4	mg
	PEG 6000	2.4	mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer

15 (prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

	carnauba wax	140	mg
	beeswax	60	mg
	surfactant	20	mg
	hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	40	mg

20 A further enteric coating constituted by:

	enteric polymer	47.0	mg
	triaconit	1.3	mg

was affixed.

Example 17

25 Preparation of tablets with controlled-release core containing Cimetropium bromide as active ingredient

The cores were prepared by conventional compression methods, each having the following composition:

	Cimetropium bromide	50	mg
30	lactose	125	mg

starch 73 mg

magnesium stearate 2 mg

The thus obtained cores were coated with a protecting water-soluble

5 film constituted by:

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 1.20 mg

PEG 6000 0.12 mg

Then, the protected cores were coated with a hydrophobic layer

(prepared and applied as described in example 1) constituted by:

10 carnauba wax 67.0 mg

beeswax 29.0 mg

surfactant 9.6 mg

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 19.0 mg

A further enteric coating constituted by:

15 enteric polymer 17.0 mg

triacetin 0.5 mg

was affixed.

Example 18

Preparation of soft gelatin capsules for the in vitro release

20 evaluation

Each capsule contained:

E110 dye 6 mg

polyethyleneglycol 600 194 mg

The capsules were film coated by fluidization dip coating at a

25 temperature below 50°C using an aqueous dispersion of the following

mixture:

beeswax 23.37 mg

cetostearyl alcohol 5.85 mg

surfactant 2.93 mg

30 hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 5.85 mg

In vitro release evaluation

The in vitro release evaluation was carried out by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

5 The test was carried out using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

At specific time intervals, a specimen was withdrawn and spectrophotometrically analyzed (482 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	time (min)	percentage of release
10	0	0
	30	0
	60	0
	90	0
	120	0
15	150	78
	180	102

Example 19

Evaluation of the correlation between the thickness of the hydrophobic layer and the no-release interval

20 The in vitro release of the protected cores, that is without the hydrophobic layer, prepared as described in example 2, and with different increasing thickness of the hydrophobic layer, prepared and applied as described in example 1, was evaluated by dissolution test according to what described in example 1.

25 The hydrophobic layer was constituted by a mixture of carnauba wax, beeswax, surfactant and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose in the same weight ratios described in example 2.

The increase of the thickness of the hydrophobic layer was expressed as increase of the tablet diameter and as the corresponding increase 30 of the tablet weight.

The dissolution test was carried out by using water (500 ml) at 37°C.

The beginning of the release was detected spectrophotometrically 5 (482 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	diameter (mm)	weight increase (%)	no-release interval (min)
	5.50	0	0
	6.10	28	75
10	6.50	48	135
	6.75	60	155
	7.00	73	180

The same dissolution test was carried out by using the protected cores, that is without the hydrophobic layer, prepared as described 15 in example 5, and with different increasing thickness of the hydrophobic layer, prepared and applied as described in example 1.

The hydrophobic layer was constituted by a mixture of carnauba wax, surfactant and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose in the same weight ratios described in example 5.

20 The beginning of the release was detected spectrophotometrically (220 nm).

The following data were obtained:

	diameter (mm)	weight increase (%)	no-release interval (min)
	7.2	0	0
25	7.3	11	15
	7.4	20	30
	7.7	40	90
	8.0	69	140

The obtained data show that there is a linear correlation between 30 the increase of the thickness of the hydrophobic layer and the

increase of the no-release interval and that this correlation does not depend on the cores.

5

10

15

20

25

30

CLAIMS

1. An orally administrable programmed release pharmaceutical preparation comprising a core containing a pharmaceutically active ingredient, the core being coated by a hydrophobic layer comprising a mixture of a hydrophobic material having a melting point between 50°C and 90°C and a surfactant having a HLB value between 10 and 16, the amount of the surfactant being from 5 to 20% by weight with respect to the hydrophobic material.
05
- 10 2. A pharmaceutical preparation according to claim 1 in which the hydrophobic material is a higher alcohol, a higher fatty acid, an ester of a higher alcohol with a higher fatty acid, an ester of glycerin with a higher fatty acid, an ester of polyethyleneglycol with a higher fatty acid or a mixture of two or more thereof.
15
- 15 3. A pharmaceutical preparation according to claim 1 in which the hydrophobic material is carnauba wax, beeswax, cetyl alcohol, stearyl alcohol, hard paraffin, microcrystalline wax, petroleum wax, stearic acid, myristic acid, hydrogenated castor oil, tallow or a mixture of two or more thereof.
20
- 20 4. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim in which the surfactant is a non-ionic surfactant.
25
- 25 5. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim in which the surfactant is an ester of a polyethoxylated fatty acid with sorbitan or an ethoxylated fatty alcohol.
30
- 30 6. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim in which the amount of surfactant is about 10% by weight with respect to the hydrophobic material.

7. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim in which the hydrophobic layer further comprises a water-soluble film forming material in an amount of from 5 to 30% by weight with respect to the hydrophobic material.

8. A pharmaceutical preparation according to claim 7 in which the amount of water-soluble film forming material is about 15 to 20% by weight with respect to the hydrophobic material.

9. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim in which the core comprises a prompt release formulation of the pharmaceutically active ingredient.

10. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim in which the core comprises a controlled release formulation of the pharmaceutically active ingredient.

11. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim in which the core is in the form of a tablet.

12. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any of claims 1 to 10 in which the core is in the form of a tablet coated by a water-soluble film.

13. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any of claims 1 to 10 in which the core is in the form of a capsule.

14. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any preceding claim further comprising an outer enteric coating.

15. A pharmaceutical preparation according to any of claims 1 to 13 further comprising an outer coating which contains a pharmaceutically active ingredient the same as or different from the pharmaceutically active ingredient contained in the core.

16. A capsule containing - a programmed release pharmaceutical preparation according to any of claims 1 to 14 and a prompt release pharmaceutical preparation, the pharmaceutically active ingredient of the prompt release pharmaceutical preparation being the same as or different from the pharmaceutically active ingredient contained in the core of the programmed release pharmaceutical preparation.

